

State of California
Business, Transportation and Housing Agency
Department of Transportation

PROJECT BUSINESS MATTERS
Reimbursement Time Extension
Resolution: G-01-21

Prepared By:
Terry L. Abbott
Chief
Division of Local Assistance
(916) 653-1776

CTC Meeting: July 18, 2002

Reference No.: 2.8d.(1)

Original Signed By:
ROBERT L. GARCIA
Chief Financial Officer
July 1, 2002

WAIVER REQUEST – CTC RESOLUTION G-01-21

CTC STIP GUIDELINES

SECTION 65 – TIMELY USE OF FUNDS

LOCAL STREETS AND ROADS PROJECTS

WAIVER-02-36

RECOMMENDATION

The Department of Transportation's recommendations are shown on the attachment.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Resolution G-01-21, *STIP Guidelines*, adopted by the California Transportation Commission (Commission) on July 12, 2001, stipulates that funds programmed for project development and right of way components of local grant projects are available for expenditure only until the end of the second fiscal year after allocation. The guidelines further stipulate that the Commission may approve waivers to the timely use of funds deadline one time only for up to twenty months in accordance with Section 14529.8 of the Government Code.

The Commission has allocated \$108,000 in fiscal year 1999-2000 for the two projects on the attached list. The implementing agency (City of San Jose) has not expended the funds and does not anticipate expending all the funds by the June 30, 2002 deadline. The attachment shows the details of each project and the reasons for the extension requests. The project sponsor requests extensions, and the planning agency concurs.

Attachment

Time Extension/Waiver – Reimbursement Deadline
Item 2.8d.(1) – Local Streets and Roads Projects
Program Year 2001/2002

Project #	Applicant County	Extension Amount By Component (\$ in thousands) E&P PS&E R/W CON TOTAL	Number of Months Requested Extended Deadline CT Recommendation
Reason for Project Delay:			
1	City of San Jose Santa Clara	\$0 \$44	20 months
	PPNO: 2168 San Jose Airport ATMS	\$0 \$44	02/29/2004 Support – however, does not meet STIP guidelines
<p>This request for extension of the expenditure deadline is not due to project delay. The City is requesting an extension of 20 months to fund and obtain reimbursement for non-construction (PE) related expenditures that occur during or following the construction phase of ITS projects.</p> <p>The need for the extension is due to the nature of how Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) projects are designed and constructed. Unlike typical construction projects, ITS projects include various non-construction activities that occur during and post construction. For example, signal timing work cannot be performed until construction/installation of associated infrastructure is complete. Project documentation and evaluation required by the provisions of the grant must occur during and post construction. These task items were included as part of the consultant design contract (funded with PE funds) in part to avoid the need for a second consultant contract as well as additional related overhead costs.</p>			
2	City of San Jose Santa Clara	\$0 \$64	20 months
	PPNO: 2159 ITS along Warm Springs Blvd.	\$0 \$64	2/29/2004 Support – however, does not meet STIP guidelines
<p>This request for extension of the expenditure deadline is not due to project delay. The City is requesting an extension of 20 months to fund and obtain reimbursement for non-construction (PE) related expenditures that occur during or following the construction phase of ITS projects.</p> <p>The need for the extension is due to the nature of how Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) projects are designed and constructed. Unlike typical construction projects, ITS projects include various non-construction activities that occur during and post construction. For example, signal timing work cannot be performed until construction/installation of associated infrastructure is complete. Project documentation and evaluation required by the provisions of the grant must occur during and post construction. These task items were included as part of the consultant design contract (funded with PE funds) in part to avoid the need for a second consultant contract as well as additional related overhead costs.</p>			